

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/670,523	09/26/2003	Akira Ibuka	C14-161672M/ISI	6501	
21254 MCGINN INT	7590 07/01/200 ELLECTUAL PROPE	EXAM	EXAMINER		
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 200 VIENNA, VA 22182-3817			DINH, TAN X		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2627			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/01/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/670,523	IBUKA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
TAN X. DINH	2627		

	TAN X. DINH	2627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires _3_ months from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ai		in the final rejection whi	ahouaria latar In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,138(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further core They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. \(\subseteq for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s), a) [\) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 9 Claim(s) objected to:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: 1-8 and 10-24.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
 Other: The rejection of claims 23 and 24 under 35 USC 1 	112, first paragraph, are withdrawn	herein.	
	(TAN Vuon DINILI)		

/TAN Xuan DINH/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The reasons for the rejection is clearly point out in last Office action. This is not "mere conclusory statement" as applicant's argued but based on the fact that the priority setting feature is old and widely used in car audio art (see last Office action), anyone within the level of skill could apply this technique for setting priority operation between front and rear speaker unit in Ito as claimed.